SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, MAY 13, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S162029 B198220 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

BOEKEN (JUDY) v. PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC.

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Kennard, J.

-- joined by Baxter, Chin, & Corrigan, JJ.

Dissenting Opinion by Moreno, J.

-- joined by George, C. J., and Werdegar, J.

S025520

PEOPLE v. WALDON (BILLY RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Karen Hamilton's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 11, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 13, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S039894

PEOPLE v. SATTIEWHITE (CHRISTOPHER JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Peter Hensley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 7, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 7, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S055856

PEOPLE v. ROMERO (ORLANDO GENE) & SELF (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael P. Goldstein's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Orlando Gene Romero's reply brief by September 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 13, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S076175

PEOPLE v. LOY (ELOY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Marianne D. Bachers's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 1, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 1, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S089609

PEOPLE v. DELGADO (ANTHONY GILBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 10, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 9, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S097363

PEOPLE v. MERRIMAN (JUSTIN JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 9, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 9, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S115284

PEOPLE v. TRINH (DUNG DINH ANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gary D. Garcia's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 5, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 19, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 80 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118629

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS, JR., (ROBERT LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 9, 2010.

S138147

SMITH (GREGORY SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Chuck Nacsin's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by March 21, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 12, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 255 additional days are contemplated.

S142694

WARD (CARMEN LEE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeannie R. Sternberg's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 10, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 12, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S155651

ABILEZ (FRANK MANUEL) ON H.C

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Laurence's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 31, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 9, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 25 additional days is contemplated.

S170966

ALFARO (MARIA DEL ROSIO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Linda L. Griffis's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 10, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that

document is granted to July 12, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S158965 H029602 Sixth Appellate District

REID (BRIAN) v. GOOGLE, INC.

Order filed

The request of respondent to allocate to amicus curiae Association of Southern California Defense Counsel 12 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted. Respondent may reserve rebuttal time; amicus curiae may not.

S158965 H029602 Sixth Appellate District

REID (BRIAN) v. GOOGLE, INC.

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellant at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of appellant to allocate to Paul J. Killion 15 minutes and Barry L. Bunshoft 15 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S174229 B212512 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

CLARK (JAMES A.) v. S.C. (NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY)

Order filed

The request of real party in interest to allocate to amici curiae Association of California Life & Health Insurance Companies et al., 10 minutes of real party's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S180416 RIFKIN ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed for HOWARD LAWRENCE RIFKIN

The order of this court filed April 27, 2010, imposing discipline, is hereby amended to reflect the above State Bar case number.

S182344

BARKER (RICHARD A.) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (MARSHALL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the

event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S182376

JONES (JASON EARL) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (HARRINGTON)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S182475

COYLE (JEFFREY R.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S182573

TROTTER (ANTHONY GRAHAM) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S182605

B223859 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

MUHAMMAD (SHAKA SENEGAL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

C063487

Third Appellate District

PARRA (ROBERTO) v. AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD (UNITED FARM WORKERS OF AMERICA, AFL-CIO)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.